



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,767	02/26/2001	David Edwin Thurston	65435-9002	6332
23510	7590 08/04/2004		EXAMINER	
	BEST & FRIEDRICH H PINCKNEY STREET	COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY		
P O BOX 1806			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISON,	MADISON, WI 53701			
				DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		09/763,767	THURSTON ET AL.			
	onice Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
***	The MAILING DATE of this communication of	Brenda Coleman	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely be the seply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2002.						
		nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 Claim(s) 1,3-10,12,13,15-21,25-27,29,32-38,40,42,46-60 and 62-65 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 13,15-21,25-27,29,32-34,40,47-49,57-60,63 and 65 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-10,38,42,46,50-56 and 64 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 6,12,35-37 and 62 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/26/01 & 8/28/02</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application Ority documents have been received Ority (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 3-10, 12, 13, 15-21, 25-27, 29, 32-38, 40, 42, 46-60 and 62-65 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicants' amendment filed April 14, 2004. Claims 1, 25-27, 33, 42, 50, 56 and 62 have been amended.

Response to Amendment

Applicants' arguments filed April 14, 2004 have been fully considered with the following effect:

- 1. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection claims 1, 3-7, 20, 21, 25-27, 29, 32-38, 40, 42, 46, 48-56, 60, 63 and 65, labeled paragraph 1 in the last office action, which is hereby withdrawn.
- 2. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 102 anticipation rejection of claims 50-55 labeled paragraph 2 in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 3. With regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 38, 42 and 46 labeled paragraph 4 in the last office action, the applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The applicants' stated that they have amended claim 1 to state that the proviso applies to formula la only, as shown at page 3, lines 24-28. However, there is no positive recitation for formula la or lb in the specification for the definition of R₂ where R₂ is CH=CR^AR^B, where R^A and R^B are independently selected from H, R^C, COR^C, CONH₂, CONHR^C, CONR^C₂, cyano or phosphonate, where R^C

Application/Control Number: 09/763,767

Art Unit: 1624

is an unsubstituted alkyl group having 1 to 4 carbon atoms. The negative limitation described at page 3, lines 24-28 is for compounds of formula la and does not set forth a statement of utility for the compounds of formula lb where R_2 is $CH=CR^AR^B$, where R^A and R^B are independently selected from H, R^C , COR^C , $CONH_2$, $CONHR^C$, $CONR^C_2$, cyano or phosphonate, where R^C is an unsubstituted alkyl group having 1 to 4 carbon atoms. The implication that R_2 is $CH=CR^AR^B$ for all other permutation claimed herein are not enabled.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 38, 42 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1 12, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such as a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, for reasons of record and stated above.

- 4. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejections of claims 26 and 27, labeled paragraph 8) in the last office action, which are hereby **withdrawn**.
- 5. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection of claim 56, labeled paragraph 9) in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 6. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejections of claims 25, 33 and 62, labeled paragraph 10a), b) and d) in the last office action, which are hereby **withdrawn**. However, with regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejections labeled paragraph 10c) the

Application/Control Number: 09/763,767

Art Unit: 1624

applicant's amendments and remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants' stated that the applicants have amended claim 42 to delete "la" and "lb". However, while it is noted that "la" and "lb" were deleted with respect to the structures, there is still reference to formula la and lb in the second line of the claim.

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for reasons of record and stated above.

- 7. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection claims 38, 50-55 and 64, labeled paragraph 11 in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 8. With regards to the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection as being unpatentable over copending Application No. 10/021,213 of the last office action, the applicants requested that this rejection be held in abeyance at this time.

Claims 38, 50-55 and 64 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. 10/021,213, for reasons of record.

In view of the amendment dated April 14, 2004, the following new grounds of rejection apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,767 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

9. Claims 56 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reason(s) apply:

a) Claims 56 and 64 are vague and indefinite in that it is not known if they are complete or not since they do not end with a period.

Claim Objections

10. Claims 6, 12, 35-37 and 62 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 13, 15-21, 25-27, 29, 32-34, 40, 47-49, 57-60, 63 and 65 are allowed. None of the prior art of record or a search in the pertinent art area teaches the compounds, compositions or method of use of the compounds of formulae II, III, IV as claimed herein.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened

Art Unit: 1624

statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action.

In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from

the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brenda Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-

0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 571-272-0674. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free).

Brendo Coleman

Brenda Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

August 3, 2004